

FLOOR AMENDMENT
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
State of Oklahoma

SPEAKER:

CHAIR:

I move to amend HB3862 _____
Of the printed Bill
Page _____ Section _____ Lines _____
Of the Engrossed Bill

By striking the Title, the Enacting Clause, the entire bill, and by
inserting in lieu thereof the following language:

AMEND TITLE TO CONFORM TO AMENDMENTS

Adopted: _____
_____ Reading Clerk
Amendment submitted by: Ross Ford _____

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2nd Session of the 59th Legislature (2024)

FLOOR SUBSTITUTE
FOR

HOUSE BILL NO. 3862

By: Ford

FLOOR SUBSTITUTE

An Act relating to health insurance; defining terms; providing for disclosure and review of prior authorization requirements; providing who shall make adverse determinations; providing for personnel qualifications; requiring consultations prior to adverse determinations; providing requirements for certain physicians; providing for retrospective denial; providing for exemptions; providing for failure to comply; providing for codification; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA:

SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.1 of Title 36, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

As used in this section:

1. "Prior authorization" means the process by which utilization review entities determine the medical necessity and/or medical appropriateness of otherwise covered health care services prior to the rendering of such health care services. Prior authorization also includes any health insurer's or utilization review entity's

1 requirement that an enrollee or health care provider notify the
2 health insurer or utilization review entity prior to providing a
3 health care service; and

4 2. "Utilization review entity" means an individual or entity
5 that performs prior authorization for an:

6 a. insurer that writes health insurance policies, and

7 b. a preferred provider organization, health maintenance
8 organization, or exclusive provider organization.

9 SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
10 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.2 of Title 36, unless there
11 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

12 A. A utilization review entity shall make any current prior
13 authorization requirements and restrictions readily accessible on
14 its website to enrollees, health care professionals, and the general
15 public. This includes the written clinical criteria. Requirements
16 shall be described in detail but also in easily understandable
17 language.

18 B. If a utilization review entity intends either to implement a
19 new prior authorization requirement or restriction or amend an
20 existing requirement or restriction, the utilization review entity
21 shall ensure that the new or amended requirement is not implemented
22 unless the utilization review entity's website has been updated to
23 reflect the new or amended requirement or restriction.
24

1 C. If a utilization review entity intends either to implement a
2 new prior authorization requirement or restriction or amend an
3 existing requirement or restriction, the utilization review entity
4 shall provide health care providers of enrollees written notice of
5 the new or amended requirement or restriction no less than sixty
6 (60) days before the requirement or restriction is implemented.

7 SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
8 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.3 of Title 36, unless there
9 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

10 A. A utilization review entity must ensure that all adverse
11 determinations are made by a physician.

12 B. The physician must:

13 1. Possess a current and valid nonrestricted license to
14 practice medicine;

15 2. Be of the same specialty as the physician who typically
16 manages the medical condition or disease or provides the health care
17 service involved in the request;

18 3. Have experience treating patients with the medical condition
19 or disease for which the health care service is being requested; and

20 4. Make the adverse determination under the clinical direction
21 of one of the utilization review entity's medical directors who is
22 responsible for the provision of health care services provided to
23 enrollees of Oklahoma.
24

1 SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
2 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.4 of Title 36, unless there
3 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

4 If a utilization review entity is questioning the medical
5 necessity of a health care service, the utilization review entity
6 must notify the enrollee's physician that the medical necessity is
7 being questioned. Prior to issuing an adverse determination, the
8 enrollee's physician must have the opportunity to discuss the
9 medical necessity of the health care service with the physician who
10 will be responsible for determining authorization of the health care
11 service under review.
12

13 SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
14 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.5 of Title 36, unless there
15 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

16 A. A utilization review entity must ensure that all appeals are
17 reviewed by a physician.

18 B. The physician must:

19 1. Possess a current and valid nonrestricted license to
20 practice medicine;

21 2. Be currently in active practice in the same or similar
22 specialty as a physician who typically manages the medical condition
23 or disease for at least five (5) consecutive years;
24

1 3. Be knowledgeable of, and have experience providing, the
2 health care services under appeal;

3 4. Not be employed by a utilization review entity or be under
4 contract with the utilization review entity other than to
5 participate in one or more of the utilization review entity's health
6 care provider networks or to perform reviews of appeals, or
7 otherwise have any financial interest in the outcome of the appeal;

8 5. Not have been directly involved in making the adverse
9 determination; and

10 6. Consider all known clinical aspects of the health care
11 service under review, including, but not limited to, a review of all
12 pertinent medical records provided to the utilization review entity
13 by the enrollee's health care provider, any relevant records
14 provided to the utilization review entity by a health care facility,
15 and any medical literature provided to the utilization review entity
16 by the health care provider.

17
18 SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
19 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.6 of Title 36, unless there
20 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

21 A. A utilization review entity may not revoke, limit,
22 condition, or restrict a prior authorization if care is provided
23 within forty-five (45) business days from the date the health care
24 provider received the prior authorization.

1 B. In the case of preventive care that has prior authorization
2 approval, if it has been determined medically necessary by the
3 medical provider that additional preventive care is needed, it shall
4 be covered under the initial pre-authorization. For any
5 subsequently provided preventive care covered by the initial pre-
6 authorization, it must be in connection to care furnished by the
7 medical provider. Any care provided to an enrollee that is not in
8 connection to pre-authorized preventive care shall need to receive
9 pre-authorization approval.

10 C. A utilization review entity that has made an adverse
11 determination of both a request for prior authorization and a
12 subsequent appeal by an enrollee's health care provider may be
13 subject to medical malpractice if it is found that the medical care
14 furnished in accordance with a utilization review entity's approval
15 of medical care deviated from accepted norms of practice in the
16 medical community, the recommendation of an enrollee's health care
17 provider, and causes an injury to the enrollee. A utilization
18 review entity shall only be found liable for medical malpractice if
19 documentation is provided that shows a utilization review entity
20 undermined the judgment of the enrollee's medical provider and all
21 relevant information utilized to support the initial request for
22 prior authorization and appeal of the adverse determination.

1 D. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require pre-
2 authorization approval of care that is already exempted from a pre-
3 authorization approval.

4 SECTION 7. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
5 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.7 of Title 36, unless there
6 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

7 A. A utilization review entity may not require a health care
8 provider to complete a prior authorization for a health care service
9 in order for the enrollee to whom the service is being provided to
10 receive coverage if in the most recent twelve-month period, the
11 utilization review entity has approved or would have approved not
12 less than eighty percent (80%) of the prior authorization requests
13 submitted by the health care provider for that health care service.

14 B. A utilization review entity may evaluate whether a health
15 care provider continues to qualify for exemptions as described in
16 subsection A of this section not more than once every twelve (12)
17 months. Nothing in this section requires a utilization review
18 entity to evaluate an existing exemption or prevents a utilization
19 review entity from establishing a longer exemption period.

20 C. A health care provider is not required to request an
21 exemption in order to qualify for an exemption.

22 D. A health care provider who does not receive an exemption may
23 request from the utilization review entity at any time, but not more
24 than once per year per service, evidence to support the utilization

1 review entity's decision. A health care provider may appeal a
2 utilization review entity's decision to deny an exemption.
3

4 E. A utilization review entity may only revoke an exemption at
5 the end of the twelve-month period if the utilization review entity:

6 1. Makes a determination that the health care provider would
7 not have met the eighty percent (80%) approval criteria based on a
8 retrospective review of the claims for the particular service for
9 which the exemption applies for the previous three (3) months, or
10 for a longer period if needed to reach a minimum of ten claims for
11 review;

12 2. Provides the health care provider with the information it
13 relied upon in making its determination to revoke the exemption; and

14 3. Provides the health care provider a plain language
15 explanation of how to appeal the decision.

16 F. An exemption remains in effect until the thirtieth day after
17 the date the utilization review entity notifies the health care
18 provider of its determination to revoke the exemption, or if the
19 health care provider appeals the determination, the fifth day after
20 the revocation is upheld on appeal.

21 G. A determination to revoke or deny an exemption must be made
22 by a health care provider of the same or similar specialty as the
23 health care provider being considered for an exemption and have
24

1 experience in providing the service for which the potential
2 exemption applies.

3 H. A utilization review entity must provide a health care
4 provider that receives an exemption a notice that includes:

5 1. A statement that the health care provider qualifies for an
6 exemption from pre-authorization requirements;

7 2. A list of services for which the exemptions apply; and

8 3. A statement of the duration of the exemption.

9 I. A utilization review entity shall not deny or reduce payment
10 for a health care service exempted from a prior authorization
11 requirement under this section, including a health care service
12 performed or supervised by another health care provider when the
13 health care provider who ordered such service received a prior
14 authorization exemption, unless the rendering health care provider:

15 1. Knowingly and materially misrepresented the health care
16 service in request for payment submitted to the utilization review
17 entity with the specific intent to deceive and obtain an unlawful
18 payment from utilization review entity; or

19 2. Failed to substantially perform the health care service.

20 SECTION 8. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
21 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 6570.8 of Title 36, unless there
22 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

23 Any failure by a utilization review entity to comply with the
24 deadlines and other requirements specified in this act will result

1 in any health care services subject to review to be automatically
2 deemed authorized by the utilization review entity.
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

SECTION 9. This act shall become effective November 1, 2024.

59-2-10743 TJ 03/12/24